

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5396 of 2016

Ashok Kumar

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
 2. The District Magistrate, East Champaran, at Motihari
 3. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Raxaul, East Champaran
 4. The Superintendent of Police, East Champaran, Motihari
 5. The Sub-Divisional Police Officer, Raxaul, East Champaran
 6. The officer-in-charge, Chhauradano P.S., East Champaran
- Respondent

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Umesh Chandra Verma, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Jay Prakash Sharma, AC to GP 11

CORAM: HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE RAVI RANJAN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 28-03-2016

Heard parties.

The grievance of the petitioner is that though an application was made in the year 2011 itself for grant of firearm licence for N.P. bore rifle within the territory of State of Bihar but no final decision has been taken by the Licensing Authority as yet. It is also stated that the father of the petitioner was holding arms licence no. 508 and possessed a rifle but as he is an old-aged person and intends to transfer his firearm in favour of his son.

Having regards to the aforementioned facts and circumstances, the writ application is being disposed of with a direction to District Magistrate-cum-Licensing Authority, Motihari, East Champaran to take a final decision in the matter of the petitioner

on its own merit and in accordance with law expeditiously preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt/ production of a copy of this order. While doing so, in view of the averments made in the writ petition as recorded above, he would be obliged to consider the Family Heirloom Policy of the Central Government

(Dr. Ravi Ranjan, J.)

rinkee/-

U			
---	--	--	--