

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.13562 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -255 Year- 2015 Thana -KOTWALI District- MUNGER

=====

Chhotu Yadav @ Ashok Kumar Ashok, Son of late Jagdish Yadav,
Resident of Village- Purabsarai, Police Station -Kotwali, District -Munger.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shivendra Kumar Sinha, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajeev Nayan, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 12-04-2016

Heard.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a criminal prosecution registered under Sections 307, 379, 387 and some other allied offences under the Indian Penal Code.

Though, the petitioner is specifically named in the FIR vide Annexure-1 as an accused, but taking into consideration the fact that both sides are relation to each other and also taking into consideration the fact that that the allegation of assault against the petitioner is general and omnibus in nature and the injury sustained by the informant is said to be simple in nature, which is evident from Annexure-2, this Court is inclined to accede to the prayer made on his behalf for grant of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, his prayer for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed.

In the event of his arrest or surrender in the court below within a period of four weeks from today, let the above named petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Munger in connection with Kotwali

P.S. Case No. 255 of 2015, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. and subject to further conditions that:

(A) One of the bailors must be government servant or close family members of the petitioner, who will file an affidavit in the court below showing his/her relationship with the petitioner,

(B) if the petitioner is found involved in same and similar nature of cases in future, then in that case the informant/prosecution shall be at liberty to file a petition for cancellation of bail of the petitioner, and if such a petition is filed, the court below would be obliged to dispose of the same in accordance with law after giving opportunity of hearing to all concerned;

(C) the petitioner shall make regular pairvi in the court below in the present case either by appearing himself in person or through representation by his lawyer on each and every date, and if on two consecutive dates petitioner fails to make pairvi, then the court below shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bond of the petitioner.

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)

Arvind/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--