


IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 20950 of 2014

- 
1. Sajjad Alam, son Late Mahiruddin, resident of village- - Jhunki, P.O. – Matiyari, P.S. – Terha Gachha, District – Kishanganj
 2. Md. Zahiruddin, son of Abdush Sakure, resident of village- - Farha bari, P.S. – Terha Gachha, District – Kishanganj
 3. Md. Hafizuddin, son of Late Farmuddin Sakure, resident of Village-Farha bari, P.S. – Terha Gachha, District – Kishanganj
 4. Md. Mujmil Hussain, son of Late Yakooov Hussain, resident of village—Jhunki, P.O. – Matiyari, P.S. – Terha Gachha, District – Kishanganj
 5. Md. Aminuddin, son of Late Makbool Hussain, resident of village- - Farhabari, P.O. – Matiyari, P.S. – Terha Gachha, District – Kishanganj
 6. Ainuddin, son of Late Basiruddin, resident of village- Farhabari, P.O. – Matiyari, P.S. – Terha Gachha, District – Kishanganj
 7. Md. Khaleed Ashlam, son of Abid Hussain, resident of village- - Junki, P.O. – Matiyari, P.S. – Terha Gachha, District – Kishanganj
 8. Chandra Prasad Singh, son of Late Dhananand Singh, resident of village-Farha Bari, P.S. – Terha Gachha, District - Kishanganj

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Old Secretariat, Patna
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Human Resources Development, Bihar, Patna
3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Personnel Administrative Reforms, Bihar, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Giri
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Md. N. Hoda Khan

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 03-05-2016

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

These petitioners are supposed to have worked on the post of Instructor under the erstwhile Department of Adult and Non-formal Education. Their demand for regularization etc. led to many a litigations. We are concerned with an order passed in a batch of writ applications, decided on 21.04.2011, contained in Annexure-2; the

affirmation of the said decision by a Division Bench in L. P. A. No. 1489 of 2011; and the order passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in SLP moved against the order of the Division Bench.

The Court expresses no opinion on the merit of the claim of the petitioners, except that the State will consider their claim within the parameters of the decision rendered in Annexure-2 as well as L. P. A. No. 1489 of 2011.

Writ application stands disposed off, accordingly.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

SKM/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	04.05.2016
Transmission Date	