

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1934 of 2012

=====

1. M/S Jai Mahabir Enterprises through its Partner Ravi Parakash Singh S/O Sri Ravindra Nath Singh A Resident of Mohalla-Vir Kunwar Singh Colony, Charitar Van Buxar, P.O. + P.S.-Buxar, Distt.-Buxar

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Principal Secretary, Department of Water Resources, Govt. of Bihar, Irrigation Bhawan, Patna
2. The Engineer In Chief, Department of Water Resources, Govt. of Bihar, Irrigation Bhawan, Patna
3. The Chief Engineer, Water Resources having its Office At Dehri on Sone, Distt. Rohtas
4. The Superintending Engineer, Flood Control Circle Buxar, Distt.-Buxar
5. The Executive Engineer, Flood Control, Buxar, Distt.-Buxar
6. M/S Garima Construction Pvt. Ltd. through Its Partner-Sri Nandraj Pandey S/O Not Known to the Petitioner A Resident of Vill. + P.O.-Ramgarh, Distt.-Kaimur
7. Sri Shailendra Chaubey Son of Late Lallan Prasad Chaubey Resident of Vill. + P.O.-Purana Bhojpur, P.S.-Dumraon, Distt.-Buxar

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nikesh Kumar, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rishiraj Sinha, GP19

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
ORAL ORDER

5 16-02-2016 None appears on behalf of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the State appears and submits that the petitioner questions the agreement entered in between the respondent No.6 and State. It is stated that the Water Resources Department had invited tender for two works i.e. tender for anti erosion work on the right bank of river Ganga near village Majharia from Ch.165 to 180 of B.K.G. for as well as for anti erosion work on the right bank of river Ganga near Hadipur Primary School in Chausa Block. It is submitted that pursuant to

the tender, the private respondents and the petitioner responded thereto and finally the work was awarded to the respondent No.6. It is stated that the anti erosion work had to be completed by 15th May, 2012 and stands completed. The counter affidavit was served on learned counsel for the petitioner on 7.2.2013 but the statement has not been contested.

In the circumstances, no cause for indulgence is made out and the writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

Bibhash/-

(Jyoti Saran, J)

U			
---	--	--	--