

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Revision No.587 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -null Year- null Thana -null District- SUPAUL

=====

1. Manoj Kumar @ Lalu Kumar @ Lalu Yadav, Son of Mahendra Yadav, Resident of- Gidha, P.S.- Gobardiha, District- Madhubani under the guardianship of his natural father namely Mahendra Yadav

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Sr. Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. R.B. Roy Raman, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA PRAKASH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 29-02-2016

Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and the State.

This revision application has been filed for setting aside the judgment dated 17.3.2015 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge II, Supaul in Criminal Appeal No.02 of 2014/ 01 of 2015, by which he has affirmed the order dated 15.10.2014 passed by the Juvenile Justice Board, Supaul in G.R. No.422 of 2014/ E.R. No.161 of 2014), by which he has refused to release the Petitioner.

Considering that the father of the Petitioner undertakes his responsibility and has fair antecedents, let the petitioner above named, be released on furnishing bond of Rs. 5,000/-(Five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Juvenile Justice Board, Supaul in connection with G.R. No.422 of 2014/ E.R. No.161 of 2014), subject to the conditions (i) That one of the bailor shall be the father of the Opposite Party No.2 and the other bailor will

be a close relative of the petitioner who will give an affidavit giving genealogy as to how he is related with the petitioner. The bailor will undertake to furnish information to the Court about any change in address of the petitioner. (ii) That the affidavit shall clearly state that the petitioner is not an accused in any other case and if he is he shall not be released, (iii) That the bailor shall also state on affidavit that he will inform the court concerned if the petitioner is implicated in any other case of similar nature after his release in the present case and thereafter the court below will be at liberty to initiate the proceeding for cancellation of bail on ground of misuse, (iv) That the petitioner will give an undertaking that he will receive the police papers on the given date and be present on date fixed for charge and if he fails to do so on two given dates and delays the trial in any manner, his bond will be liable to be cancelled for reasons of misuse, (v) That the petitioner will be well represented on each date if he fails to do so on two consecutive dates, his bond will be liable to be cancelled.

Accordingly, the revision application is allowed and the judgment dated 17.3.2015 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge II, Supaul in Criminal Appeal No.02 of 2014/ 01 of 2015 as also the order dated 15.10.2014 passed by the Juvenile Justice Board, Supaul in G.R. No.422 of 2014/ E.R. No.161 of 2014 is, hereby, set aside.

Narendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

(Anjana Prakash, J)