

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.28410 of 2016**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -80 Year- 2016 Thana -RUNISAIDPUR District- SITAMARHI

1. Gita Devi W/o Dilip Sahni  
2. Kanchan Kumari D/o Dilip Sahni  
Both resident of Village-Gaurigama,P.S.-Runnisaidpur, District-  
Sitamarhi

.... .... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... .... Opposite Party

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ahmad Ali (App)

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      27-07-2016      Heard Sri Amit Kumar Rakesh, learned counsel for  
the petitioners and learned Addl. Public Prosecutor.

Two petitioners, who are mother and sister of the  
husband of the deceased, have prayed for grant of anticipatory bail  
in Runnisaidpur P.S. Case no.80/2016 registered for the offence  
under Section 304(B)/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

It was submitted by learned counsel for the  
petitioners that petitioners are innocent and have falsely been  
implicated in the present case. He further submits that the  
deceased had committed suicide.

Learned Addl. Public Prosecutor has opposed the  
prayer for grant of anticipatory bail. He submits that on perusal of

the impugned order, it is evident that during investigation, witnesses have supported the case of the prosecution. He further submits that the marriage of the daughter of the informant was solemnized only two years back from the date of occurrence and also there are materials against the petitioners in the F.I.R..

Besides hearing learned counsel for the parties, I have also perused the materials available on record including the impugned order. I do not find any ground to grant privilege of anticipatory bail. The petition stands dismissed.

**(Rakesh Kumar, J)**

NKS/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|