

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13683 of 2015

=====

Rajeev Raushan, Son of Late Bishundev Yadav, Resident of Village - Kalyanpatty, P.S. - Gwalpara, District - Madhepura.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Chief Secretary, Secretariat, Harding Road, Patna - 1.
2. The Secretary, Department of Rural / Village - Development, New Secretariat, Bailey Road, Patna - 1.
3. The Secretary, Department of Road Construction, New Secretariat, Bailey Road, Patna - 1.
4. Commissioner, Koshi Commissioner, Saharsa.
5. District Magistrate, District - Madhepura.
6. District Development Commissioner, District - Madhepura.
7. President - cum - Member, Chief Minister Village Road Plan, Legislative Assembly, Bihareeganj, District - Madhepura.
8. Pramukh - cum - Member, Chief Minister Village Road Construction Committee, Parsaha Sinduari Road, Gwalpara, Madhepura, Sub - Division - Kishanganj, District - Madhepura.
9. Block Development Officer - Cum - Member, Chief Minister Village Road Construction Committee, Parsaha Sinduari Road Construction Committee, Block - Gwalpara, District - Madhepura.
10. Engineer, Department of Chief Minister Village Road Plan, Parsaha Sinduari Road, Block - Gwalpara, District - Madhepura.
11. Contractor / Sambadak, Parasaha Sinduari Road Construction Company, Block - Gwalpara, District - Madhepura.
12. Anchal Amin, Block- Gwalpara, District - Madhepura.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Binay Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Respondents : Mr. Roy Shivaji Nath, A.A.G.-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

And

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI

SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 05-02-2016

Heard Mr. Binay Kumar Singh, learned Counsel,

appearing on behalf of the petitioner, and Mr. Roy Shivaji Nath,

learned Additional Advocate General No.3, appearing on behalf

of the State-respondents.

With the help of this writ petition, made under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, in the nature of Public Interest Litigation, the petitioner asserts that the lands, which are being used for the construction of Parsaha Sinduari concrete road, allegedly belongs to the farmers and construction is being done without acquiring the land.

Since the persons, whose land have been acquired, did not have any grievance, the writ petition, in the nature of Public Interest Litigation, is misconceived and is, therefore, dismissed.

(I.A. Ansari, ACJ)

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Sunil/-

U			
---	--	--	--