

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Review No.59 of 2016

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 3210 of 2014

=====

1. Saira Tarannum D/O Md. Najamuddin Ansari Resident of Mohalla -
Barbanna, P.S. And District - Katihar

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director, Primary Education, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Education Officer, Katihar
4. The District Programme Officer, Katihar
5. The Block Development Officer, Hasanganj, District - Katihar
6. The Block Education Officer, Hasanganj, District - Katihar
7. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj Jagarnathpur, Hasanganj, Katihar
8. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj Jagarnathpur, Hasanganj, Katihar

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Subodh Kumar Jha, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. S.Raza Ahmad, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 28-09-2016 Heard Mr. Subodh Kumar Jha, learned counsel for the
petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

Although Mr. Jha learned counsel for the review petitioner endeavours to argue that it is the failure of the petitioner not to ably assist the Court which has led to the passing of the order under review but considering that the writ petition was dismissed as withdrawn on the permission taken after advancing some arguments, coupled with the fact that it has taken the petitioner

nearly two years to realise this position, I am not persuaded with the arguments advanced by Mr. Jha. Even otherwise the ground so canvassed by Mr. Jha cannot be a ground for review of an order passed, especially where the counsel for the petitioner sought permission to withdraw the writ petition after advancing some arguments.

The review application is devoid of merit and is dismissed in limine.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

Bibhash/-

U			
---	--	--	--