

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.702 of 2015

IN

LPA No. 459 of 2012

=====

Baidyanath Prasad Verma, S/o Late Askrit Prasad Verma, Resident of Magadh Colony, Kurji Near Bhagera Ashram, P.O. Sadakat Ashram, P.S. Digha, District Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Shri Anjani Kumar Singh, Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, having his office in Old Secretariat Building, P.S. Sachivalaya, District Patna. null null
2. Sri Arun Kumar Singh, Commissioner and Secretary (now designated as Principal Secretary), Road Construction Department, Bihar having his office at Vishwasharaiya Bhawan, P.S. Shastrinagar, District Patna.
3. (Post Vacant) Joint Secretary, Road Construction Department having his office at Vishwasharaiya Bhawan, P.S. Shastrinagar, District Patna.
4. Sri Chandra Shekhar Prasad, Deputy Secretary-cum-Chief Vigilance Officer, Road Construction Department Bihar, having his office at Vishwasharaiya Bhawan, P.S. Shastrinagar, District Patna.
5. Sri Mithilesh Kumar, Special Officer-cum-Dy. Secretary, Road Construction Department Bihar, having his office at Vishwasharaiya Bhawan, P.S. Shastrinagar, District Patna.
6. Sri Sanjay Kumar, Accountant General (A&E), having his office at Birchand Patel Path, District Patna.
7. Sri Kailash Prasad Sinha, Treasury Officer, Secretariat Treasury, Vishwasharaiya Bhawan, P.S. Shastrinagar, District Patna.
8. Sri K.C. Saha, Chairman, Bihar Public Service Commission, having his office at Bailey Road, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Dhananjay Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. P.K. Verma, Sr. Advocate (AAG-3)
		Mr. S.K. Sharma, AC to AAG-3
For BPSC	:	Mr. Sanjay Pandey, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAVANITI PRASAD SINGH

and

HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. NILU AGRAWAL

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAVANITI PRASAD SINGH)

Date: 17-08-2016

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute

that all payments have been received either physically or through pension payment orders but still grievance is that there is slight delay in payments, and, accordingly, interest as per the order of the Court has not been paid.

Having considered the matter, in our view, there has been a substantial compliance of the order of the Court. In that view of the matter, we are not inclined to pursue the matter any further. The contempt application is disposed of.

(Navaniti Prasad Singh, J.)

(Nilu Agrawal, J.)

Arjun/Rajesh

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	18.08.2016
Transmission Date	