

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 18100 of 2011

=====

Sanjay Kumar S/O Bhupendra Prasad Yadav Resident of Village Tamout
Parsa, Ward No. 6 Via and P.S.- Murliganj, Dist. Madhepura

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through D.G.P. Bihar, Patna
2. The Central Selection Board (Constable Recruitment), Bihar, Patna
through Its Secretary
3. The Chairman of the Central Selection Board (Constable Recruitment),
Bihar, Patna
4. The Secretary of the Central Selection Board (Constable Recruitment),
Bihar, Patna

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Durgesh Kumar
For the Respondent/s : AC to Addl. Advocate General – 8
Mr. Sanjay Pandey

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

5. 28-10-2016 Heard Sri Durgesh Kumar, learned counsel for the
petitioner, learned A.C. to Addl. Advocate General - 8 as well as
Sri Sanjay Pandey, learned counsel, who has appeared on behalf
of respondent no. 2 to 4/Central Selection Board for Constable
Recruitment.

The petitioner has approached this Court with a
prayer to direct the respondents to appoint him as constable in
B.M.P., District Police treating him as finally selected, whereas, in
this case, a counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of respondent
no. 3 and 4 and it has been indicated that petitioner belongs to BC-
M-NHG category and he has secured 98 marks and the cut-off

marks for the said category was 110. The fact disclosed in the counter affidavit has not been disputed by filing any reply. Meaning thereby that any candidate, having lesser marks than the petitioner, has not been selected.

Accordingly, there is no question for infringement of any right and in absence of infringement of any right, there is no question for entertaining the present petition.

The petition stands dismissed.

(Rakesh Kumar, J.)

Anay

U			
---	--	--	--