

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2655 of 2015

=====

Ashwini Kumar Singh son of Late Daroga Rai Resident of Village -
Maripur, Police Station - Phulwariya, District - Gopalganj.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Collector/District Magistrate, Gopalganj.
3. The Union of India, Chief Secretary, Ministry of External Affairs, New Delhi.
4. The Passport Issuing Officer, Passport Office, Patna.
5. The Chief Secretary, Ministry of External Affairs, New Delhi.
6. The Passport Officer, Passport Office, Patna, Police Station - Rajiv Nagar, District - Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Murari Prasad Sinha, Advocate
For the State Mr. Sunil Kumar Pandey, AC to SC 14
For the Respondent Nos 3-5 : Mr. Abhay Shankar Jha, CGC

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
ORAL ORDER

4 11-11-2016 Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to make
correction in the name of parties during course of day.

Heard Mr. Murari Prasad Sinha, learned counsel for the
petitioner, Mr. Sunil Kumar Pandey, learned AC to SC 14 for the
State and Mr. Abhay Shankar Jha, for the respondent Nos. 3-5.

In this case the grievance of the petitioner is that the date of
birth recorded in the passport is not correct and although he has
approached the appropriate authority who according to the learned
counsel for the petitioner would be the Passport Issuing Officer,
but the grievance has not been disposed of resulting in filling of

the writ petition.

Having heard the learned counsel and considering the nature of grievance, I deem it fit and proper to dispose of the writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to file a proper application before the Passport Issuing Officer or the authority concerned enclosing the documents certifying his death of birth and it goes without saying, that any such application filed by the petitioner for alteration of his death birth would be considered by the Passport Officer or the authority concerned and be disposed of in accordance with law with opportunity of hearing to the petitioner expeditiously and preferably within six months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

Prakash/-

U			
---	--	--	--