

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5256 of 2015

=====

Nandeshwar Lal Das, son of Late Ganesh Lal Das, resident of Village- Chitragupt Nagar, Ward No. 20, P.O. & P.S.- Madhubani, District- Madhubani.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through its Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director, Higher Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
5. The Vice-Chancellor, Baba Saheb Bhim Rao Ambedkar Bihar University, Muzaffarpur.
6. The Registrar, Baba Saheb Bhim Rao Ambedkar Bihar University, Muzaffarpur.
7. The Director, National Council of Teacher Education, Eastern Regional Committee, 15, Nilkantha Nagar, Nayapatti Bhubaneswar, Orissa-751012.
8. The Secretary, Department of School Education and Literary, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Govt. of India, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi-110001.
9. The VS (Computer), National Council for Teacher Education, Hans Bhawan Wing-II, Bahadur Sahab Zafar Marg, New Delhi-110002.
10. The Secretary, Mahatma Buddha Teachers Training College, Mahatma Buddha Teachers Training College, Sitamarhi, Plot No. 1775, Village- Dhrub Nagar, Panchmile, P.O.- Kamaldah, Taluka- Bathnaha, District- Sitamarhi, Bihar-843322.
11. The Principal, Mahatma Buddha Teachers Training College, Sitamarhi, Plot No. 1775, Village- Dhrub Nagar, Panchmile, P.S.- Bathnaha, District- Sitamarhi.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Shailendra Kumar Jha, Advocate Mr. Sanjay Kumar Jha, Advocate Mr. Surendra Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Yogendra Pd. Sinha, A.A.G.15
For the University	:	Mr. Vikash Ratan Bharti, Advocate
For N.C.T.E.	:	Mr. S.N. Pathak, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 21-07-2016

Writ application is disposed of with a direction and liberty to the petitioner that he is free to bring all the materials and evidence before the authorities, i.e., respondent nos.5, 6 and 7 who

have a duty to act in accordance with law. The Court will not get into the authenticity or correctness of the allegations or evidence keeping in mind that the responsibility is being thrust upon the said respondents in this regard.

If such a process is initiated by the petitioner, the authorities have a duty to take a decision preferably within three months thereafter after giving due opportunity of hearing to the institution in question.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J.)

SanjayKumar/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	25.07.2016
Transmission Date	