

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No 22436 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -477 Year- 2014 Thana -ROHTAS COMPLAINT CASE District-
SASARAM (ROHTAS)

- =====
1. Indradeo Paswan, son of Late Hari Paswan
 2. R.N. Raj Paswan @ Chhotu Paswan @ Aaryan Paswan, son of Sri Indradeo Paswan
 3. Manish Kumar @ Manish Paswan, son of Indradeo Paswan
 4. Pushpa Devi, W/o Indradeo Paswan All residents of Villlage: Baradih, P.S: Nokha, District: Rohtas.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Rajbansh Paswan, son of Late Ram Pratap Ram, resident of Village: Padadi, P.S; Sheosagar, District: Rohtas.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : M/s Vikramdeo Singh & Sada Nand Roy, Advs

For the Informant : M/s Raghunandan Kr Singh & Upadhyay
Saurabh Kumar, Advocates

For the S t a t e : Mr Dasrath Mehta, APP

=====

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE NAVANITI PRASAD SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 25-05-2016

The petitioners apprehend arrest in relation to Complaint Case No 477 of 2014 in which the learned Magistrate has been pleased to take cognizance under Sections 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act on 17.03.2015.

Petitioners are mother, father and their two sons. The allegation in the complaint petition is that petitioner No 3 Manish Kumar @ Manish Paswan had become familiar with the daughter of the complainant. In the complaint, it is admitted that they were

moving around together. Both were very young. In fact, even Manish was only 20 years old that is below the marriageable age of 21 years. Marriage was agreed between the parties but, thereafter there being a demand of dowry, the negotiations were broken and, hence, the complaint.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that, as apparent from the complaint itself, it was a case of love affair between two young persons. Once that does not stultify in marriage, this complaint has been concocted and filed.

Be that as it may, in the event of their arrest or surrender within four weeks from today, the petitioners abovenamed shall be released on bail on each of them furnishing bonds of Rs 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Judicial Magistrate - cum- Additional Munsif 7, Sasaram (Rohtas) in Complaint Case No 477 of 2014 subject to all conditions as laid down in Section 438 (2) of Criminal Procedure Code.

(Navaniti Prasad Singh, J)

M.E.H./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--