

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3435 of 2015

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 1106 of 2015

=====

Smt. Sudha Sinha Wife of Shri Ashok Kumar, Resident of Village- Kashim
Chak P.O. Mahanandpur, P.S. Deep Nagar, District-Nalanda.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Secretary, Energy Department, namely Pradeep Kumar Pujari, New Delhi.
2. Sri I.S. Jha, Secretary, Son of not known to the Petitioner, the Chief Managing Director, the Power Grid Corporation of India Limited, New Delhi.
3. Sri I.S. Jha, Son of not known to the Petitioner, the Project Director, The Power Grid Corporation of India Limited, New Delhi.
4. Sri Arun Kumar, the Executtive Director, Son of not known to the Petitioner, Regional Office, Power Grid Corporation of India Limited, Patna.
5. Sri Lalan Kumar Singh, the Chief Manager, Son of not known to the Petitioner, Power Grid Corporation of India Limited, Sub Station, Biharsharif, Sub-Division, Nalanda.
6. Sri Kumar Nikhil, Son of Late Chandeshwar Prasad, the Manager (T.L), 400 K.V., Biharsharif Sub-Division, Nalanda
7. The State of Bihar through Dr. Tyag Ranjan S.M., the District Magistrate, Nalanda, Biharsharif.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dharendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. A K Chaudhary, AAG-13

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 27-01-2016 Heard the parties.

By the order passed in the writ petition arising from
CWJC No. 1106 of 2015, this Court taking note of the
circumstances as well as the project of the Power Grid
Corporation of India Limited had directed the Corporation to
expedite the payment of compensation to the petitioner and until

such time that it is made, the Corporation was directed not to disturb her from the dwelling house.

Although Mr. Dharendra Kumar Singh appearing for the petitioner charges the authorities of the Corporation of disturbing her by laying down the power lines but Mr. Sumit Kumar Jha appearing for the Corporation with reference to Annexure-4 submits that there has been no laches on the part of the Corporation in payment of the compensation and that it is the petitioner who is avoiding to accept the same on grounds of quantum.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the statement of the petitioner present at Annexure-4, no case for contempt is made out. The petitioner, if aggrieved by the quantum of compensation, may prefer statutory remedy so available to her in law.

This application is disposed of.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--