

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10936 of 2012

Baskit, Rai Son Of Late Suresh Rai, Resident Of Village and P.O. - Dighi Kala Purbi, Police Station - Sadar - Hajipur, District - Vaishali (Hajipur)

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union Of India Through Chairman Railway Board, Ministry Of Railways, Rail Bhawan, New Delhi
2. The Deputy Director Traffic(G)-Ii, Railway Board Rail Bhawan, New Delhi
3. The General Manager, East Central Railway, Hazipur
4. The Divisional Rail Manager (D.R.M.), East Central Railway, Sonapur Division, Sonapur, District - Saran
5. The Chief Commercial Manager, East Central Railway, Hazipur
6. The Senior Divisional Rail Manager (Commercial), East Central Railway, Sonapur Division, Sonapur, District - Saran
7. The Divisional Rail Manager (Commercial) East Central Railway Sonapur Division, Sonapur, District - Saran

.... Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Mahesh Prasad, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 16-05-2016

Despite repeated calls no one appears on behalf of the petitioner.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for quashing the order dated 16.05.2012 contained in letter no. C/536/Cycle-cum-Scooter/Hajipur/2010 issued under the signature of Divisional Rail Manager (Commercial) Sonapur Division, Sonapur under East Central Railway (E.C.R.); and for quashing of Tender Notice published in daily newspaper Hindustan on 23.05.2012 which is said to be published in terms of letter dated 16.05.2012 for the purpose of allotment of a new Cycle-cum-Scooter (Motor Cycle) Stand in the premises of Hazipur Railway Station of Sonapur Division under East Central Railway.

3. At the very outset, it is noticed that the tender dated 07.09.2010 awarded in favour of the petitioner was only for a period of three years which has since elapsed. So also the advertisement dated 23.05.2012 pursuant to the impugned letter dated 16.05.2012 contemplated award of work for only 90 days which has also since elapsed.

4. In that view of the matter, the prayer in the writ petition has been rendered infructuous by efflux of time and no positive relief can be granted at this belated stage.

5. The application is accordingly dismissed as having become infructuous.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Md. Ibrarul/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	17.05.2016
Transmission Date	