

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Review No.82 of 2014

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 7582 of 2013

- =====
1. Dwarika Manjhi Son Of Shri Ram Manjhi
 2. Sonamati Devi Wife Of Late Rajwanshi Manjhi
 3. Brij Kumar Manjhi Son Of Late Punyadeo Manjhi
 4. Ratan Manjhi
 5. Shiv Rohan Manjhi Both Sons Of Late Sukan Manjhi
 6. Birendra Manjhi Son Of Dwarika Manjhi
 7. Gajadhar Manjhi Son Of Late Rajwanshi Manjhi All Resident Of Village Pachbhinda P.O. Siswa Kala P.S. M.H. Nagar Hasanpura District Siwan

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Division Commissioner, Saran Division, Chapra
3. The Collector Cum District Magistrate, Siwan
4. The Superintendent Of Police, Siwan
5. The Sub-Divisional Police Officer, Siwan
6. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Sadar, Siwan
7. The Circle Officer, Siswan District - Siwan
8. The Circle Inspector Siswan District Siwan
9. The S.H.O. M.H. Nagar Hasanpura P.S. + District Siwan
10. Geno Nath Manjhi Son Of Late Mangru Manjhi Resident Of Village Pachbhinda P.O. + P.S. Dwakala District Siwan

.... Respondent/s

=====

with

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.6489 of 2013

- =====
1. Gena Nath Manjhi Son Of Late Mangru Manjhi Resident Of Village- Pachbhinda, P.O.- Siswa Kala, P.S.- M.H. Nagar, Hasanpura, Distt.- Siwan

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Mr. Dibesh Sehra, The Collector-Cum-District Magistrate, Siwan
3. Mr. Bachhu Prasad Choudhary, The Circle Officer, Siswan, Distt.- Siwan
4. Mr. L.N. Mahton, The S.H.O., M.H. Nagar, Hasanpura P.S., Distt.- Siwan

.... Respondent/s

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH KUMAR
DATTA
ORAL ORDER



6 25-10-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners in the civil review application and learned counsel for opposite party No.10, who was the petitioner in the writ petition, being C.W.J.C. No. 7582 of 2013. Also heard learned counsels for the parties in the contempt application filed by the writ petitioner.

Mr. Prabhat Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the review petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the review application with liberty to file a writ petition with respect to the claims of the review petitioner.

The civil review application is, accordingly, dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

So far as the contempt petition is concerned, it is evident from the order dated 02.11.2015 that admittedly the order under contempt has been complied with.

The contempt application is also, accordingly, dismissed.

(Ramesh Kumar Datta, J)

V.P.Sinha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

