

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4273 of 2014

Gariban Ram, Son Of Late Ramji Ram, Resident Of Village - Radh, Post Office -
Malmal, Police Station - Kaluahi, District - Madhubani

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Principal Secretary Human Resources
Development Department Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
2. The District Magistrate, Madhubani
3. The Member District Teachers Appointment Appellate Authorities Madhubani
4. The District Education Officer, Madhubani
5. The Block Development Officer, Kaluahi, District - Madhubani
6. The Block Education Officer, Kaluahi, District - Madhubani
7. The Mukhiya Village Panchayat Malmal North, P.S. Kaluahi, District -
Madhubani
8. The Panchayat Secretary Village Panchayat Malmal North, P.S. Kaluahi, District
- Madhubani
9. Md. Baddiujjama Son Of Md. Samaruddin Resident Of Village - Nazara, P.O.
Meghwan, P.S. Benipatti, District - Madhubani
10. Sushila Kumari D/O Jagdish Raj Resident Of Village - Sonapatahi, P.S.
Babubarahi, District - Madhubani
11. Pinki Kumari Wife Of Om Prakash Paswan Resident Of Village - Dayalahi,
P.O. Pali Mohan, P.S. Khajauli, District - Madhubani
12. Kumari Anita Bobby, Wife Of Mithilesh Kumar Resident Of Village - Shivji
Nagar, Pandaul Bazar, P.O. + P.S. Pandaul, District - Madhubani
13. Reena Kumari Wife Of Vijay Kumar Resident Of Village And P.O. Dhenga
East, P.S. Arer, Madhubani

.... Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Choudhary
Mr. Ratanakar Jha
For the Respondent Nos. 9-13: Mr. Shashi Nath Jha
Mr. Sanjay Jha
For the State : Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Singh,
A.A.G.-13
Mr. Tej Pratap Singh,
AC to A.A.G.-13

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 25-10-2016

Heard Mr. Choudhary, who argued the matter very
vehemently. But, unfortunately for this Court, it is the status of the

petitioner, which comes in the way of adjudication or interference with the impugned order, passed by the District Teachers Employment Appellate Tribunal, Madhubani, dated 11.12.2012.

Petitioner was neither an applicant nor under zone of consideration for such selection, but at that relevant time was a Ward Member.

It is the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner that it is for the objective of bringing about honesty into selection process on the post of Panchayat Teachers that he has been fighting the legal battle.

The Court is not sitting in a public interest litigation jurisdiction and since the beneficiaries, whoever they are, are not coming forth and making grievance against such selection, this public spirit, which has been raised by the petitioner and his counsel should be utilized for larger and better cause, especially when such appointments relates to the year 2008. Petitioner cannot be said to be an aggrieved by the order of the tribunal.

Writ application stands dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

SKM/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	26.10.2016
Transmission Date	