

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1450 of 2015

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 20117 of 2010

=====

Dhanpal Rai son of Late Panchu Rai resident of village - Raidih, Police
Station - Bihta, District - Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Mr. Dipak Kumar Singh, Secretary, Irrigation Department (Tube -
Well), Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Mr. Bindeshwar Ram, the Engineer-in-Chief, Tube-well, Irrigation
Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Mr. Vishnu Rajak, the Executive Engineer, Tube-Well Division, Patna
West, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manish Kumar No-2

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Aag13 A K Chaudhary

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V. NATH
ORAL ORDER

8 30-03-2016 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned counsel for the State-respondents.

By order dated 23.09.2014 passed in C.W.J.C. No.
20117 of 2010, the direction was issued to the State-respondents to
pay the due amount of G.P.F. and family pension to the petitioner.
In the show cause as well as supplementary show cause filed on
behalf of the respondents, it has been stated that amount of family
pension had already been paid to the petitioner and the amount of
G.P.F. along with interest shall be paid to the petitioner through

cheque within three days. It has been pointed by the learned counsel for the State-respondents that though the cheque for the due amount of G.P.F. along with interest was ready to be handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner in the court on the last date itself but since thereafter the due date of the said cheque expired and it has been sent for revalidation and shall be handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner as soon as it is revalidated and at the most within two weeks.

In view of the aforesaid stand on behalf of the State-respondents, this Court does not find sufficient ground for initiating a proceeding of contempt against the State-respondents. The petitioner if at all aggrieved by the quantum of amount paid to him by way of family pension or G.P.F. shall be at liberty to seek appropriate redressal in accordance with law.

The contempt application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(V. Nath, J)

Devendra/-

U			
---	--	--	--