

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8423 of 2014

=====

Girish Kumar Singh Son of Late Lakshmi Narayan Singh Resident of Village - Old ward No. 2, New Ward No. 6, Bhabua, District - Kaimur at Bhabua.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The District Magistrate, Kaimur at Bhabua.
3. The Executive Engineer, Rural Work Department, Work Division, Bhabua.
4. The Assistant Engineer, Rural Work Department, Ramgarh, Work Division, Bhabua.
5. The District Education Officer, Kaimur at Bhabua.
6. The Headmaster, Sharda Brajraj High School, Mohania, District - Kaimur at Bhabua.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajani Kant Pandey, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rajesh Singh, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 25-01-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for a direction to the respondents to make payment of the amount claimed by the petitioner to be due to him pursuant to work having been completed in terms of the tender awarded in favour of the petitioner.

3. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that despite the work done of construction of school building pursuant to work allotted to the petitioner, no payment has been made to the petitioner.

4. In the above view of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of with consent of the petitioner, granting him liberty to approach the Executive Engineer, Rural Work Department, Work Division, Bhabua (Respondent No. 3) with a fresh representation for redressal of his grievances. If any such representation is filed within a period of two weeks from today, the same shall be considered and disposed of, ensuring payment to the extent found due to the petitioner, within a period of six weeks from the date of receiving the petitioner's representation. Any delay in payment of the admitted amount beyond the stipulated period as stated above, shall entitle the petitioner to receive payment together with simple interest at the rate of 9% per annum on the admitted dues calculated from the date when the amount became due till the date of its actual payment. In case the petitioner's claim is found inadmissible, whether in whole or in part, the petitioner's representation shall be disposed of by a speaking order in that regard.

5. It is made clear that this Court is not expressing any opinion on the merits of the claim of the petitioner.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Md. Ibrarul/-

U			
---	--	--	--