

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.28039 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -65 Year- 2016 Thana -SHERGHATI District- GAYA

=====

1. Dharmendra Paswan Son of Swaroop Paswan Resident of village -
Parmiyan, P.S. M.U. Bodh Gaya, District - Gaya

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sudha Chandra

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Zainul Abedin

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR
MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

2 15-07-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

counsel for the State.

Petitioner is accused in connection with Sherghati

P.S. Case No. 65 of 2016 registered under Sections 399 and 402

of the I.P.C. and 25(1-b)(a) and 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

The accusation is that on receiving the secret

information about gathering of 6 to 7 persons in suspicious

condition in the village Gopalpur, the Police reached there, they

started to flee away but four persons, including the petitioner were

apprehended, who disclosed the name of three other associates. On

search, the petitioner was found in possession of one country made

pistol and one life cartridge whereas Pradip was found in

possession of one *thernet* and life cartridge and Mritunjay Kumar

and Sikandar Khan were found in possession of dragger.

Submission of learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case showing the recovery of one country made pistol and one life cartridge. Further it is submitted that while the petitioner is accused in one another case i.e. Magadh University P.S. Case No. 105 of 2013 but he is on bail in that case. Petitioner is in custody since 11.02.2016.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of A.C.J.M., (Sherghati), Gaya in connection with Sherghati P.S. Case No.65 of 2016. Out of two sureties, one surety must be parents or close relatives of the petitioner.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

Shail/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--