

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13515 of 2014

=====

Siya Ram Yadav Son of Late Awadh Narayan Yadav Resident of village - Konar,
Police Station - Phulparas, District - Madhubani

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Collector, Madhubani
2. Circle Officer Ghoghardina, District - Madhubani

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ramchandra Jha Raman, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Kumari Amrita-GP-10

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V. NATH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 17-10-2016

Heard Mr. Jha, learned counsel for the petitioner and also
the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents.

The petitioner is aggrieved by the impugned order by
which the learned court below has refused to mark the certified copy
(Ext. 1) as exhibit at the appellate stage. From the submissions as well
as from the impugned order, it transpires that the sale deed was
produced by the petitioner in the learned court below and was marked
as Ext. 1 in the suit. However, after the decision of the suit, the said
sale deed was taken back. Subsequently, at the appellate stage the
petition was filed by the petitioner for marking the certified copy of
the said sale deed (Ext. 1) as exhibit on the ground that the sale deed
(Ext. 1) had been lost. The appellate court below has turned down the

prayer of the petitioner but has further marked the certified copy of the said sale deed for identification as 'X' for just decision.

After considering the submissions, this Court does not find that the impugned order requires interference under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. The application is accordingly, dismissed.

(V. Nath, J)

Devendra/-

U			
---	--	--	--