

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1548 of 2009

=====

Sri Babban Prasad Singh, son of Late Bindeshwari Singh, Proprietor of
Hitech Engineering, resident of Veriety Complex, Sheopur, Mahendru, P.S.
– Pirbahore, District – Patna.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sri Ashok Kumar Sinha, the Industrial Development Commissioner,
Vikash Bhawan, New Secretariat, Patna.
3. Sri Uday Naray Thakur, the Director, Industry Department, Vikash
Bhawan New Secretariat, Patna.
4. Sri B.N. Prasad, the General Manager, District Industries Centre,
Patliputra Industrial Area, Patna.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rakesh Kumar Sharma

For the Respondent/s : Mr. (GP20)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAVANITI PRASAD SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3. 28-07-2016

From the pleadings, it is clear that this Court

had passed two directions in the writ proceedings.

(i) For payment of balance amount, and

(ii) No recovery from the writ petitioner.

Being aggrieved, State preferred Letters
Patent Appeal being Letters Patent Appeal No.
1180/2009 which was dismissed by the Division Bench
on 03.08.2011. Thus, the order of this Court became
final.

This contempt application had been filed
pursuant to the writ court's order. Outstanding payment
of about Rs. 3,15,360/- was not being given to the

petitioner. Though, State is present, petitioner is not represented. This court is not in a position to ascertain that the other payments have been made or not. However, it is assumed that though five years have gone by payments must have been made. In case, payments have not been made, it would be the duty of the respondents to ensure that the payments are made forthwith. The petitioner would have liberty to institute contempt proceedings again if payments are not received.

With this observation, this application stands disposed of.

(Navaniti Prasad Singh, J)

Rajeev/-

U			
---	--	--	--