

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6871 of 2012

- =====
1. Ramchandra S/O Bhawar Lal Jee Resident Of Village:Mesiya, Tehsil:Raipur, Dist.:Raipur (Rajasthan), Prop. Of Sri Ram Trading Company, Near Bazar Samiti Gate, Ahiyapur, Muzaffarpur
 2. Karan Singh Pareekh S/O Krishan Lal Pareekh Resident Of Village Sahdulpur, P.S. Rajgarh, P.S.:Rajgarh, Dist.:Churu (Rajasthan), Prop. Of Kanhaiya Enterprises, Khanjhapur, Cheriya Bariyarpur, Begusarai
 3. Shailendra Kumar S/O Shri Hare Krishan Chaudhary Resident Of Village:Sarfuddinpur, P.S.:Bochaha, Dist.:Muzaffarpur (Bihar) Prop. Of Nishant Enterprises, Bakhari Bus Stand, Islampur, Khagaria (Bihar)
 4. Naryan Prasad S/O Shri Sita Ram Agarwal Resident Of Simapur, P.S. Barari, Dist.:Katihar, Prop. Of Kishor Enterprises
 5. Dilip Kumar S/O Shri Madan Jaiswal Resident Of Simapur, P.S.:Barari, Dist.:Katihar, Prop. Of Saurav Enterprises

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The East Central Railway through Its General Manager, Hajipur, Bihar
2. General Manager, East Central Railway, Hajipur, Bihar
3. Chief Commercial Manager, Hajipur, East Central Railways, Bihar
4. Sr. Commercial Manager (Freight & Services), Hajipur, East Central Railways, Bihar
5. Asst. Commercial Manager (Freight & Services), Hajipur, East Railways, Bihar
6. Divisional Railway Manager, Sonpur, East Central Railways, Bihar
7. Sr. Divisional Commercial Manager, Sonpur, East Central Railways, Hajipur, Bihar
8. Divisional Railway Manager, Samastipur, East Central Railways, Hajipur, Bihar
9. Sr. Divisional Commercial Manager, Samastipur, East Central Railways, Hajipur, Bihar

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None
For the Respondent/s : Mr. D.K.Sinha, Sr.Advocate
Mr. Satyeshwar Prasad, Advocate.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

4 05-05-2016 Despite repeated calls no one appears on behalf of the
petitioners to press the writ petition.

2. Learned Senior Counsel Mr. D.K.Sinha appearing on behalf of

the Respondent Railway submits that the writ petition has now become infructuous by efflux of time as the work contemplated under the tender had to be completed by September, 2012.

3. Be that as it may, in view of non-representation on behalf of the petitioner when the matter is called, the writ petition stands dismissed.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran

U			
---	--	--	--