

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.23047 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -802 Year- 2014 Thana -PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-
PATNA

=====

Chhathu Yadav

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

State of Bihar & Anr

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sudish Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Veena Rani Pd.(App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 21-06-2016

The matter has been listed under the heading ‘To Be
Mentioned’ at the instance of the petitioner.


It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that the petitioner is a retired BMP but inadvertently it has been
recorded in paragraph no.4 of the order that the petitioner is a
retired Civil Surgeon.

Hence, let in the fourth paragraph of the order be
read as “the petitioner has retired from BMP” in stead of “the
petitioner is a retired Civil Surgeon”.

The period of surrender is extended by two weeks
from today in connection with Complaint Case No. 802C of
2014 pending in the court of learned JM, 1st Class, Danapur,
Patna.

Accordingly, the order dated 23.05.2016 is modified

to the extent as indicated above.



(Dinesh Kumar Singh, J)