

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2819 of 2015

=====

The Union Of India through the Dy. Director (E) Cum Head of Office,
Prasar Bharti, Doordarshan maintenance Centre, Motihari.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Zila Parishad, Gopalganj, Gopalganj through its Deputy Development Commissioner-Cum-Chief Executive Officer, Gopalganj.
2. The Deputy Development Commissioner-cum-Chief Executive Officer, Gopalganj.
3. The District Magistrate, Gopalganj.
4. Sub-Divisional Officer, Gopalganj.
5. Sub-Divisional Officer-cum-Rent Controller, Gopalganj.
6. The Circle Officer, Gopalganj.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, ASG

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anshuman Singh, GP 24

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN

ORAL ORDER

6 11-11-2016 In view of the statement made in paragraph Nos. 10 to 14 of the counter affidavit where the petitioner has already taken recourse to the remedy provided under the Bihar Building (Lease, Rent and Eviction) Control, Act 1982, a parallel proceeding, by way of writ petition, is held not maintainable and is accordingly disposed of, allowing the petitioner to pursue the statutory remedy already preferred by him.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

Prakash/-

U			
---	--	--	--