

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5095 of 2015

=====

Ranjit Kumar Jha & Ors

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

Mungia Devi & Ors

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Mishra

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MUNGESHWAR
SAHOO
ORAL ORDER

3 30-03-2016 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners on I.A.
No.2496 of 2016.

This application has been filed for amendment of the
main writ application.

Prayer is allowed and this I.A. stands allowed.

Heard the learned counsel, Mr. Pramod Mishra for the
petitioners.

By the order dated 27.01.2015, the learned Munsif,
Saharsa has allowed the application filed by the defendants-
respondents for marking some documents in Title Suit No.68 of
1997, awarding cost of Rs.600, recording finding that the
documents are essential for just decision of the suit.

In view of the decision of the Supreme Court reported
in (2011) 11 Supreme Court Cases 275, the Court has the
jurisdiction to admit the documents in evidence even at the time of

argument or conclusion of the argument of the case.

Therefore, there is no jurisdictional error and it cannot be said that the Court below passed the order in the manner not permitted by law and since the documents have only been admitted in evidence, no question of prejudice to the petitioner arises.

The petitioner is at liberty to raise all these questions about the relevancy or admissibility or reliability of the evidence at the time of final hearing of the suit. Thus, no case for interference in supervisory jurisdiction is made out.

Accordingly, this writ application is dismissed.

(Mungeshwar Sahoo, J)

Saurabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--