

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Second Appeal No.194 of 2010

=====

Jugal Mali

.... Appellant/s

Versus

Naresh Singh & Ors.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Rajendra Prasad

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V. NATH
ORAL ORDER

9 18-08-2016 Heard learned Counsel for the appellant and the
respondent Nos. 2 to 4 as well as the respondent No. 5.

The Interlocutory Application (I.A. No. 4866 of 2016) has been filed on behalf of the appellant and respondent Nos. 2 to 4 which is a compromise petition with a prayer to dispose of this appeal in terms of the said compromise. Another petition has been filed on 13.7.2016 on behalf of respondent No. 5 stating therein that she has no objection to the compromise in between the appellant and respondent Nos. 2 to 4. It has been further stated in the said petition that respondent No. 5 has no right, title and interest in the suit property. The learned Counsel appearing for respondent No. 5 has supported the averments made in the petition.

The learned Counsel appearing for the appellant and the learned Counsel appearing for respondent Nos. 2 to 4 have supported the fact of compromise and have prayed that this appeal may be disposed of in terms of the compromise as contained in the Interlocutory Application (I.A. No. 4866 of 2016).

Accordingly, this appeal is disposed of in terms of the

compromise as contained in the Interlocutory Application (I.A. No. 4866 of 2016) which shall form part of the decree.

(V. Nath, J.)

Snkumar/-

U			
---	--	--	--