

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5818 of 2016

Pratap Singh

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

Kamal Yadav & Ors

.... Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Mishra

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MUNGESHWAR
SAHOO
ORAL ORDER

2 27-04-2016 Heard learned counsel Mr. Pramod Mishra for the
petitioner.

By the impugned order dated 03.02.2016 learned Subordinate Judge-I, Birpur, Supaul, only directed to mark the documents filed by the plaintiff-respondent in Title Suit No.101 of 2001 as exhibits.

The only grievance of the petitioner is that the documents have been marked as exhibits at belated stage. In my opinion, only on the ground of delay the evidence of a party cannot be shut down. The Court has the jurisdiction to receive evidences if the evidences are relevant for just decision of the controversies between the parties. By the impugned order only evidences have been received, therefore, it neither caused prejudice to the petitioner nor it occasioned failure of justice so as to call for

interference in supervisory jurisdiction.

Thus, this writ application is dismissed.

(Mungeshwar Sahoo, J)

Harish/-

U			
---	--	--	--