

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.21440 of 2014

=====

1. Vijay Shankar Singh S/o Sri Tej Narayan Singh Resident of Village
Kulharia, P.S. Koilwar, District Bhojpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Inspector General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Rail, Patna, Bihar.
4. The Superintendent of Police, Bhojpur at Ara.
5. The Accountant General, Bihar, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar-Ii

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Gautam Bose- Aag8

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SAMARENDRA
PRATAP SINGH**
ORAL ORDER

3 22-10-2016 Heard learned Counsel for the parties.

The petitioner in this case, who is a retired Police Sub
Inspector, has made a grievance that he has not been paid his
retrial benefits.

A counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of the
respondents. In paragraph -5, of the said counter affidavit, it has
been stated that the grievance raised by the petitioner has already
been redressed. It has further been stated that the petitioner has
already been paid Rupees One Lakh Fifty Eight Thousand Three
Hundred Eighty Six (Rs.1,58,386) and Rupees Seventy One
Thousand One Hundred Three (Rs. 71,103) towards arrears of
sixth pay commission. His pensions has been fixed in the scale of

9300-34800, Grade Pay Rs. 4600/-. It is further stated that the petitioner has also availed the benefit of second and third ACP.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that respondents ought to have sanctioned the pension of the petitioner at the rate of Rs.10,215/- w.e.f 01.01.2013.

The respondent No. 2 would duly consider the letter dated 21st March 2016 of the office of the Accountant General addressed to the Treasury Officer, Ara.

With the aforesaid observation, this application is, accordingly, disposed of.

(Samarendra Pratap Singh, J)

sunil/-

U			
---	--	--	--