

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1142 of 2016
In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 7923 of 2015

=====

Binod Kumar Singh, son of Late Jagarnath Prasad, resident of mohalla-
Tilkamanjhi Chouk, Bhagalpur, P.S.- Tilkamanjhi, District- Bhagalpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar at Patna, namely Mr. Anjani Kumar Sinha
2. The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar at Patna, namely Mr. Anjani Kumar Sinha.
3. The Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department, Government of Bihar at Patna, namely Mr. Amrit Lal Meena, I.A.S.
4. The Bhagalpur Municipal Corporation, Bhagalpur through its Executive Officer, Bhagalpur, namely Mr. Manoj Kumar.
5. The Municipal Commissioner, Bhagalpur Municipal Corporation, Bhagalpur, namely Mr. Avinash Kumar.
6. The Executive Officer, Bhagalpur Municipal Corporation, Bhagalpur, namely, Mr. Manoj Kumar.
7. The Divisional Commissioner, Bhagalpur, namely Mr. R.L. Chongthu.
8. The Joint Commissioner -cum- Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Bhagalpur, namely Rita Kumar.
9. The District Magistrate, Bhagalpur, namely Mr. Aadesh Titarmare.
10. The Sub- Divisional Officer, Sadar, Bhagalpur, namely Mr. Kumar Anuj.
11. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Bhagalpur, namely Mr. Vivek Kumar.
12. The Dy. S.P. (City), Bhagalpur, namely Sri Shahryar Khan.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Diwakar Upadhyaya
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Mandal

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KISHORE KUMAR
MANDAL
ORAL ORDER

2 31-08-2016 Heard the counsel for the petitioner and Mr.

Mandal, the counsel for the State.

The writ application alleges wilful/deliberate

disregard of the order dated 03.08.2015 passed in C.W.J.C. No.

7923 of 2015 (Annexure-1).

Having appreciated the contention of the parties, the Court disposed of the writ application permitting the petitioner to make a fresh representation before the respondent-Municipal Commissioner for consideration and disposal in accordance with law.

Along with show cause filed on behalf of O.P. No. 5, the copy of the order dated 03.05.2016 passed by the Municipal Commissioner has been enclosed.

The counsel for the Corporation has submitted with reference to the statements made in the show cause as well as Annexure-A that the representation of the petitioner has been considered and disposed of. The order passed by this Court has been duly complied with.

The counsel for the petitioner made certain submissions to challenge the correctness thereof. In my view, in contempt jurisdiction, the veracity of the order cannot be considered.

On going through the statements made in the show cause, I am satisfied, that the opposite parties have complied with the order passed by this Court.

The contempt application is dismissed.

(Kishore Kumar Mandal, J)

rohit/-

U			
---	--	--	--