

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.8071 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -811 Year- 2015 Thana -PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-
PATNA

=====

1. Meena Sinha w/o Late Vinay Kumar Sinha Residence of Rukunpura
Bailey Road, Opp. IBP Petrol Pump, Behind Arvind Enterprises, P.S. -
Rupaspur, Dist - Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Manoj Rai Son of Late Baliram Rai Resident of Mohalla - Abhimanyu
Nagar, Police Station - Danapur, District - Patna.
3. Dheeraj Kumar Son of Late Mundrika Prasad Singh Resident of Mohalla
- Vijaynagar, Police Station - Rupaspur, District - Patna.
4. Renu Kumari d/o Late Baliraj Rai Resident of Mohalla - Ashiyana Nagar,
Police Station - Rajeev Nagar, District - Patna.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anand Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nawal Kishore Pd.(App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

5 10-08-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioner seeks cancellation of the order dated
08.01.2016 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 57822 of 2015 whereby the
opposite parties have been extended the privilege of anticipatory
bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that ever since
the passing of the order, the opposite parties have again become
belligerent and have been issuing threats in various forms.

Notices were issued earlier to the opposite parties who had

appeared through Vakalatnama but their counsel has been absent from the Court on repeated occasions. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the threat to the petitioner has been continuing at the hands of the opposite parties, the bail order passed earlier be cancelled.

However, after perusing all facts and circumstances, I find that no case for cancellation of bail is made out. As such, the present application for cancellation of anticipatory bail stands rejected.

In view of the fact that the petitioner is an old lady, it shall be open to her to move the Court below, if at all necessary, by filing application so that the Court below may consider the treats issued to the petitioner and after hearing the parties, may pass necessary orders. It shall be open to the concerned Court to pass any order in the interest of the petitioner.

(Anjana Mishra, J)

Saif/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--