

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No. 14247 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -92 Year- 2013 Thana -LAUKAHI District- MADHUBANI

- =====
1. Pramod Yadav @ Pramod Kumar Yadav S/o Sri Ram Lochan Yadav, Resident of village - Sananpatti, P.S. Laukahi, District – Madhubani.
 2. Ram Yadav S/o Late Asarfi Yadav Resident of village - Hariraha, P.S. Laukahi, (Andhra Math), District – Madhubani.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. D. K. Singh, Sr, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Manish Kumar No. 13, Mr. J. K. Bharti, Advocates.
For the State	:	Mr. Murlidhar, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 02-09-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned
A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

The petitioners seek bail in Laukahi P.S. Case No.
92 of 2013 dated 31.08.2013 instituted under Sections
363/364/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

This is the second attempt of the petitioners for
bail in connection with Laukahi P.S. Case No. 92 of 2013 as
earlier such prayer was rejected by order dated 22.07.2014 in Cr.
Misc. No. 27809 of 2014. However, the Court below was directed

to expedite the trial and conclude the same within nine months.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that only on suspicion the petitioners have been made accused in the present case and till date, no other material exists. He further submits that various co-accused have been granted bail either by the Court below or by the Co-ordinate Benches of this Court.

Learned A.P.P. submits that from the confessional statement it is apparent that the role assigned to the petitioners is plausible and described in detail.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and submissions of learned counsel for the parties, the Court does not find any fresh ground made out for granting bail to the petitioners. The plea that only on suspicion they have been made accused was available and taken by the petitioners when the prayer for bail was rejected on 22.07.2014 in Cr. Misc. No. 27809 of 2014.

Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioners stands rejected.

As earlier the Court below was directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same within nine months, which has not been done, the Court directs the Court below to ensure that the trial is concluded within six months positively, failing which the Court may take a strict view and appropriate action in the matter.

The order be communicated to the Court below

through Fax also latest by 05th September, 2016.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J.)

Anand Kr.

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	
Transmission Date	