

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2857 of 2016

=====

Anuplal Mandal, Son of Late Hansraj Mandal, R/o Village- Fulwariya, PO-
Gangapur, P.S.- Sultanganj, District- Bhagalpur. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
 2. The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
 3. The Director, Primary Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
 4. The Regional Deputy Director, Munger, District- Munger.
 5. The District Education Officer, Bhagalpur, District Bhagalpur.
 6. The District Programme Officer, Bhagalpur, District- Bhagalpur.
 7. The Accountant General, Bihar, Patna.
 8. The Senior Account Officer, Bihar, Patna. Respondents
- =====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhananjay Kumar Gupta, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. GP28, SMT. GEETA KUMARI

For the Accountant Gen. : Mr. Bindhyachal Rai, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SAMARENDRA PRATAP SINGH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 11-04-2016

The petitioner prays for issuance of a direction to the respondents to grant him retiral benefits from 1.12.1964 till the date of his retirement i.e. 31.1.2000, though the respondents have granted him the said benefits from the date of appointment showing as 1.4.1975.

The petitioner submits that initially he was appointed as Assistant teacher in view of resolution no.3 of meeting held on 25.11.1964 and submitted his joining on 1.12.1964 and his service was confirmed on 1.4.1965 by the District Education Officer, Santhal Pargana.

He submits that he has filed a number of representations for redressal of this grievances.

Counsel for the State submits that the petitioner would be entitled to payment of only such dues to which he would be legally entitled.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with observation that if the petitioner files a fresh representation before respondent no.5 for payment of balance of retiral dues, the same would be disposed of in accordance with law.

It goes without saying that in case respondents find that the petitioner is entitled to any further amount, the same would be duly paid to him.

The writ petition is thus disposed of.

(Samarendra Pratap Singh, J)

Shashi.
U.. T...