

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8312 of 2011

=====

Pharuk Aazam, son of Wakil Mian, resident of Shikarpur, P.S.-Sikta,
District- West Champaran

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar & Ors

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bimlesh Kumar Pandey, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Indradeo Prasad, SC-27

For respondent no.9 : Mr. Shakti Kumar, Advocate

Mr. Anuj Kumar, Advocate

Mr. Dhananjay Kr. Tiwary, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

7 15-11-2016

Heard Mr. Bimlesh Kumar Pandey, learned counsel

for the petitioner, Mr. Indradeo Prasad, learned SC-27 and

Mr. Shakti Kumar, learned counsel for respondent no.9.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, in the changed
circumstances, seeks permission to withdraw the present writ
application provided the respondent authority decides the issue in
the light of order dated 29.01.2011 of the District Teachers
Employment Appellate Authority, West Champaran, Bettiah.

Though, since contrary to the purport of the order
dated 24.01.2011, passed by the District Teachers Employment
Appellate Authority, West Champaran, Bettiah, the respondent
authorities appointed respondent no.9 as Panchayat teacher in
Dhankutwa Gram Panchayat but now even it is admitted by the
learned counsel for respondent no.9 that the appointment of

respondent no.9 has been cancelled but the representations of the petitioner for deciding the issue in view of order dated 24.01.2011 of the District Teachers Employment Appellate Authority are still pending.

The application is permitted to be withdrawn.

Accordingly, with the liberty above-mentioned, the writ application is disposed of.

It is expected that respondent nos. 5 to 8 to dispose of the representation of the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of two months.

(Dinesh Kumar Singh, J)

Ashwini/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--