

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2415 of 2016

=====

Sanjay Kumar S/o Late B.P. Sharma resident of mohalla - New Godown,
Jhilganj, Gaya, P.O. - New Godown, Gaya, P.S. - Kotwali, District - Gaya.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Gaya Nagar Nigam through its Chief Executive Officer at P.O. - HPO,
Gaya, P.S. - Civil Line, Gaya; District - Gaya.
2. Chief Executive Officer cum Administrator, Gaya Nagar Nigam, at P.O.
- HPO, Gaya; P.S. - Civil Line, Gaya; District - Gaya.
3. The Municipal Commissioner, Gaya Nagar Nigam, at P.O. - HPO,
Gaya; P.S. - Civil Line, Gaya; District - Gaya.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kuber Pathak, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rabindra Kumar Priyadarshi

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 11-02-2016 The petitioner is aggrieved by the notice dated

14.5.2015 present at Annexure-6 whereby the petitioner has been

asked to substantiate as to the order under which he is carrying out

the maintenance of the toilets situated at 10 places mentioned in

the notice.

Mr. Y.V. Giri, learned senior counsel appearing for
the petitioner with reference to an agreement present at Annexure-
2 submits that the agreement is for 30 years and the maintenance
is being carried out since 1998. He submits that although this fact
has been brought to the attention of the Town Commissioner,
Gaya Municipal Corporation, yet the issuance of the notice(s) does

not stop and it is for this reason that the petitioner is before this Court.

Having heard Mr. Y.V. Giri, learned senior counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Ravindra Kumar Priyadarshi, counsel for the Gaya Municipal Corporation, I deem it fit and proper at this stage to dispose of the writ petition with a direction to the petitioner to present himself before the Town Commissioner, Gaya Municipal Corporation on **17.2.2016** at 11:00 a.m. along with supportive documents as well as written submissions in response to the notice dated 14.5.2015 and the Town Commissioner would consider and dispose of the matter after opportunity of hearing to the petitioner by a speaking order bringing the dispute to a final rest.

The writ petition is disposed of.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--