

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.52992 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -365 Year- 2015 Thana -KUDHNI District- MUZAFFARPUR

=====

Dilip Sahni son of Late Munilal Sahni, resident of village - Kishunpur
Madhuban, P.S. - Kudhani, District - Muzaffarpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Pronati Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR
SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 05-02-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Kurhani
P.S. Case No.365 of 2015 registered under section 387 of the
Indian Penal Code.

It has been contended that the petitioner is innocent and
mobile number in question was issued by the service provider on
the basis of fake identity proof of the petitioner.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State has
contended that during investigation the petitioner has disclosed
that he had demanded ransom from the informant and threw the
Sim card into a river.

Regard being had to the facts and circumstances of the

case, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected for the present.

The Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Muzaffarpur, who is dealing with Kurhani P.S. Case No.365 of 2015, is directed to frame charge and proceed with the trial on day-to-day basis and conclude the same as early as possible preferably within six months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order failing which the petitioner would be entitled to renew his prayer for bail before the Court of Magistrate itself. In that circumstance, the learned Magistrate must assign reason as to why the trial could not be concluded within the stipulated period while disposing of the bail petition.

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J)

Md.S./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--