

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.12925 of 2014

=====

1. Syam Nandan Prasad Singh son of late Yamuna Singh, resident of village- Beri, P.S.- Bikram, Distt.- Patna and also resident of Mohalla-Prakash Nagar, P.O.- Phulwari Sharif, P.S.- Danapur, Dist.- Patna

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Pradeep Kumar
2. Ranjan Rai Both sons of Late Jagdeo Bhagat
3. Mastt. Binda Devi wife of Late Vijay Kumar All resident of Mohalla Chinauti Kuan, Phulwarisharif, P.O. and P.S.- Phulwarisharif, Dist.- Patna
4. Ramesh Rai
5. Mahesh Rai
6. Ganesh Rai
7. Suresh Rai null null
8. Dinesh Rai All sons of Late Dukhan Bhagat and resident of Mohalla Chinauti Kuan, Phulwarisharif, P.O. and P.S.- Phulwarisharif, Dist.- Patna
9. Yogindra Rai
10. Sujeet Rai
11. Jagdish Rai
12. Chitraranjan Rai All sons of Late Nagina Bhagat and resident of Mohalla Chinauti Kuan, Phulwarisharif, P.O. and P.S.- Phulwarisharif, Dist.- Patna
13. Shri Satish Singh son of Late Ram Prakash Singh @ Prakash Singh
14. Dwarika Singh son of Late Ram Charitar Singh Both resident of Mohalla- Prakash Nagar, P.O.- Phulwari Sharif, P.S.- Danapur, Dist.- Patna
15. Smt. Ranjana Kumari wife of Sri Dilip Kumar
16. Sri Dilip Kumar son of Late Mahendra Prasad resident of quarter no. 601/C railway quarter loco colony, Khagaul police station Khagaul in the district of Patna
17. Smt. Madhuri Devi wife of Shri Prasad
18. Sri Krishna Prasad son of Late Ram Lekha Sao
19. Sri Kishore Kumar
20. Sri Sanjay Kumar sons of Sri Krishna Prasad resident of Chhoti Badalpur, P.S. and P.O.- Khagaul, Dist.- Patna

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dilip Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MUNGESHWAR SAHOO
ORAL ORDER

2 23-02-2016

Heard learned counsel for petitioner.

By the impugned order dated 06.06.2014, the learned

Sub-Judge-III, Danapur, in T.S. No. 92 of 2005 allowed the intervention application filed by the interveners on the ground that they have purchased the suit property prior to institution of the suit.

Admittedly, the interveners have purchased the part of the suit property prior to the institution of the suit. It is also admitted that their purchased property is also subject matter of the present suit, therefore, they have got direct interest in the suit property.

In such circumstances, the court below has rightly allowed the intervention application. Thus, this writ application is dismissed.

(Mungeshwar Sahoo, J)

brajesh/-

U			
---	--	--	--