

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.49336 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -313 Year- 2014 Thana -AMARPUR District- BANKA

=====

Chandan Kumar @ Chandan Kumar Sah son of Naresh Prasad Sah @
Naresh Sah Resident of village - Madachak, Police Station - Amarpur,
District - Banka.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md. Najmul Hodda

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mustaque Alam (App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN
SHARMA
ORAL ORDER

3 01-02-2016

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

A.P.P. representing the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Amarpur
P.S. Case No. 313 of 2014 registered for the offences punishable
under Sections 420, 468, 469, 471, 472, 474 and 120B of the
Indian Penal Code.

Allegedly, in the house of the petitioner fake Branch
of Union Bank of India was being run and at the house banner of
Union Bank was hanged. Several incriminating articles were also
seized from there.

Submission is of false implication and that during
investigation no person has come forward that the petitioner
cheated anyone, no offence as alleged is made out against the

petitioner, the petitioner is the junior member of the house, against the petitioner charge-sheet has already been submitted and as such there is no chance of tampering with prosecution evidence.

Learned A.P.P. submits that during raid several incriminating documents were recovered which goes to suggest that fake Branch of Union Bank of India was running in the house of the petitioner.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, considering that charge-sheet has already been submitted that there is no chance of tampering with prosecution evidence and as such the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on execution of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Learned C.J.M., Banka, in connection with Amarpur P.S. Case No. 313 of 2014, subject to the conditions that one of the bailors must be near relative and another having sufficient immovable property within the territorial jurisdiction of the court concerned and the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and the default on two consecutive dates on his part without any reason shall disentitle the petitioner from privilege of bail.

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J)

siddharth/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--