

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15714 of 2016

Ram Sundar Pandit, Son of Late Kishan Pandit, Resident of Village - Bakaur, P.S.
+ District - Supaul.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Supaul.
3. The Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Supaul.
4. The Circle Officer, Supaul.
5. The Circle Inspector, Supaul.
6. The Superintendent of Police, Supaul.
7. The Officer-in-Charge, Supaul Police Station, District - Supaul.
8. Kaleshwar Paswan, Son of Late Jitan Paswan
9. Rajendra Paswan, Son of Late Jitan Paswan, Both are resident of Village - Bakaur, P.S. + District - Supaul.

.... Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Pramod Mishra, Advocate
For the State : MD. Naseem Mukhtar, A.C. to AAG12

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE DR. JUSTICE RAVI RANJAN
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)
Date: 03-10-2016

Heard Mr. Pramod Mishra, learned counsel, appearing
on behalf of the petitioner, and Md. Naseem Mukhtar, learned
Assistant Counsel to learned Additional Advocate General No.12,
appearing on behalf of the State-respondents.

The grievance of the writ petitioner, made in this writ petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, in the nature of Public Interest Litigation, relates to removal of encroachment from survey Plot No. 1424, Village Bakur, Kumhar Tola, Thana- Supaul, District – Supaul, which is used as *Aam Rasta* (Village Road).

Having regard to the facts pleaded in this writ petition, the materials on record and, having heard learned counsel for both the parties, we find that the subject matter of this writ petition is fully covered by the observations made, and the directions given, by us in the order, dated 24.11.2015, passed in C.W.J.C. No.4309 of 2015 (**Sanjay Jha Vs. The State of Bihar and Others**), reported in 2016(1) PLJR 248.

In view of the above and in the interest of justice, it is hereby directed that respondent No.2, namely, the Collector, Supaul, shall act, in the present matter, in accordance with law, keeping in view the observations made and the directions given in the order, dated 24.11.2015, aforementioned.

The petitioner may furnish to respondent No.2, namely, District Magistrate, Supaul, a copy of this writ petition along with annexures thereto and also a certified copy of this order.

In view of the above, this writ petition is accordingly, disposed of.

Let a copy of this order be sent by FAX to the Collector,
Supaul.

(I. A. Ansari, CJ)

(Dr. Ravi Ranjan, J)

Spd/-/ Sanjay

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	06.10.2016
Transmission Date	NA