

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10970 of 2016

- =====
1. Shankar Sah, Son of Sukhari Sah, Resident of village- Barahi, Hariram, Post- Dumari Khurd, P.S- Majorganj, District- Sitamarhi.
 2. Kameshwar Sah, son of Mitani Sah, Resident of Village + Post- Dumari Khurd, P.S> Majorganj, District- Sitamarhi.
 3. Lalbabu Ram, son of Late Sukhdeo Ram, Resident of Village- Laldasi, Post- Basbitta, P.S. Majorganj, District- Sitamarhi.
 4. Anirudh Prasad, son of Late Ramji Prasad, Resident of Village + Post- Dumari Khurd, P.S Majorganj, District- Sitamarhi.
 5. Ram Lochan Mahto Son of Sukhlal Mahto, Resident of Village- Laldasi, Post- Basbitta, P.S. Majorganj, District- Sitamarhi.
 6. Hari Narayan Singh son of Rajendra Singh, Resident of Village- Majkothwa, P.S. Majorganj, District- Sitamarhi.
 7. Dilip Kumar Patel, son of Late Ramchandra Rai, Resident of Village- Hanuman Nagar, Post- Pachharwa, P.S. Majorganj, District- Sitamarhi.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Commissioner-cum-Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
3. The Director Mass Education, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Principal Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Principal Secretary, Department of Personnel and Administrative Reforms, Bihar, Patna.
6. The District Magistrate, Sitamarhi.
7. The District Education Officer, Sitamarhi.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Devendra Kumar
For the Respondent/s : Mr. AC to GA 4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 17-08-2016

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

These petitioners are supposed to have worked on the post of Instructor under the erstwhile Department of Adult and Non-formal Education. Their demand for regularization etc. led to many a litigations. We are concerned with an order passed in a batch of writ

applications, decided on 21.4.2011, contained in Annexure- 3, the affirmation of the said decision by a Division Bench in LPA No.1489 of 2011, and the order passed by the Hon’ble Apex Court in SLP moved against the order of the Division Bench. The Court expresses no opinion on the merit of the claim of the petitioners, except that the State will consider their claim within the parameters of the decision rendered in Annexure- 3 as well as LPA No.1489 of 2011.

Writ application stands disposed of accordingly.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

sk

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	17.8.2016
Transmission Date	