

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10002 of 2016

=====

Kaushal Kumar, son of Musahri Prasad, resident of village - Rehri (Rewar), P.O. + P.S. - Dhamaul, Block - Pakribarawan, District - Nawadah.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Secondary Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Magadh Division, Gaya.
4. The Divisional Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya.
5. The District Magistrate, Nawadah.
6. The District Education Officer, Nawadah.
7. The District Programme Officer (Secondary Education), Nawadah.
8. The Headmaster, Govt. Girls Middle School, Nawadah.
9. Smt. Sona Kumari, wife of Kapildeo Prasad, presently posted as Assistant Teacher in Govt. Girls Middle School, Nawadah.
10. Smt. Pushpa Kumari, wife of Ram Prasad Sinha, presently posted as Assistant Teacher in Govt. Girls Middle School, Nawadah.
11. Ranju Bala Sharan, Wife of Shri Birendra Kumar, Resident of Village- Dariyapur P.S. Pirbahore , Dist Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Anjani Kumar- AAG-4
For the Respondent : Mr. A.K. Keshari, Advocate
Mr. Bharat Lal, Advocate
For the Intervener : Mr. Sanjay Singh, Advocate
Mr. Rajeev Kr. Singh, Advocate
Mr. Purushottam Kr. Jha, Advocate
Mr. Avanindra Kr. Jha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAVANITI PRASAD SINGH

and

HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. NILU AGRAWAL

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAVANITI PRASAD SINGH)

Date: 21-10-2016

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner,

learned AAG-4 for the State and learned counsel for the interveners,

in our view, the writ petition has served its time out, inasmuch as, the

writ petition had questioned what action the Government is taking upon a report submitted to it by the CBI in relation to irregular appointment of two teachers made in 1980's, report whereof was submitted by CBI in the year 2004. State and the interveners state that actions have been taken by the State upon recommendations of the CBI, which are now subject matter of large number of individual writ petitions.

Be that as it may, as the State has taken action, this writ petition has outlived its purpose. It is, accordingly, permitted to be withdrawn.

(Navaniti Prasad Singh, J.)

(Nilu Agrawal, J.)

Arjun/Rajesh

U			
---	--	--	--