

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.762 of 2016

=====

1. Shekhar Kumar Singh son of Shri Phool Kumar Singh resident of
Village- Kamalnagar Mirjanhat, P.S.- Badarganj, District-
Bhagalpur

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Through The Home Secretary, Bihar, Patna
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna
3. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Bhagalpur Range, Bhagalpur.
4. The Senior Superintendent of Police , Bhagalpur
5. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Bhagalpur Town, District-
Bhagalpur
6. The Police Inspector- Cum- Officer-in- Charge, Nath Nagar Police
Station, Bhagalpur
7. The Investigating Office of Nath Nagar (Lalmatia), P.S. case No. 32 of
2016
8. Nihal Singh, son of Rajesh Singh
9. Baby Devi @ Hira Mani Devi Wife of Rajesh Singh
10. Rajesh Singh, son of Late Sheo Shankar Singh From 8 to 10 are
residents of Mohalla- Sheo Pujan Singh Laudge, shakti Sarkar Lane,
P.S.- Aadampur, District- Bhagalpur
11. Aditya Raj, Son of Sanjay Yadav resident of Mohalla- Anathalaya
Road, P.S. - Nah Nagar, District- Bhagalpur
12. Sadik Amin, Son of Md. Isfak Ansari resident of Mohalla- Kasera
Champanagar, Near Vishhari Asthan, P.S.- Nath Nagar, District-
Bhagalpur

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rana Ishwar Chandra, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sheo Shankar Prasad (SC-8)
Mr. Anil Kumar, AC to SC-8

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
ORAL ORDER

2 30-08-2016 Heard Mr. Rana Ishwar Chandra, learned Counsel,

appearing on behalf of the petitioner, and Mr. Anil Kumar,

learned Assistant Counsel to Standing Counsel No.8, appearing

on behalf of the State-respondents.

Because of the fact that no cognizance of any offence

has been taken by the jurisdictional Magistrate, in Nath Nagar (Lalmatia) P.S. Case No.32 of 2016, it is submitted that the petitioner will make appropriate application, in the Court of the jurisdictional Magistrate, seeking further effective investigation by the police and, hence, the writ petition, made under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India, is accordingly allowed to be withdrawn with the liberty aforementioned.

This application, accordingly, stands disposed of as withdrawn.

(I.A. Ansari, CJ)

K.C.jha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--