

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.334 of 2014

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 7448 of 2012

=====

1. Vanvasi Seva Kendra, Adhaura, P.O. and Police Station- Adhaura,
District- Kaimur through its Secretary
2. Sadanand Rai Son of Late Chandradeo Rai, Secretary, Vanvasi Seva
Kendra, Adhaura Resident of Village- Dora, Police Station- Sadat, District-
Ghazipur (UP)

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Shri Sanjay Kumar, Secretary, Department of Health and Family
Welfare-cum-Executive Director, State Health Society, Government of
Bihar, Patna
3. Shri K.K. Prasad, Deputy Director-cum-State Programme Officer
Tuberculosis, Bihar, Agamkuan, Patna
4. Shri Arvind Kumar Singh, the District Magistrate-cum-Chairman,
District Health Society, Kaimur at Bhabhua
5. Shri Dr. Ras Bihari Singh, Civil Surgeon-cum-Chief Medical Officer-
cum-Member Secretary, District Health Society, Kaimur at Bhabhua
6. Shri Dr. Anil Kumar Singh, District Tuberculosis Officer, District
Tuberculosis Centre, Kaimur at Bhabhua

.... Opp. Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prabhat Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Deepak Sahay Jamuar, AC to AAG 4
For State Health Society : Mr. K.K. Sinha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH KUMAR DATTA
ORAL ORDER

2 15-09-2016 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsels for the State and for the State Health Society.

The petitioners claim wilful disobedience of the order dated 15.5.2012 of this Court passed in CWJC No. 7448 of 2012. The said order was an interim order directing the respondents not to take any further coercive steps with respect to any other contract of the petitioner with the State Government or the State Health Society pursuant to the impugned orders dated 10.2.2012 and 11.2.2012.

Learned counsel for the petitioners raises a grievance with respect to MOU that had been entered into between the petitioners and the District Health Society in the year 2013 but the approval of the same was not given by the Director, Health Services on account of the earlier blacklisting order.

The interim order passed by this Court was not in such a wide term so as to protect the petitioners with regard to any future contract that may have been entered into between the parties.

In the said circumstances, it does not appear that there has been any wilful disobedience of the order under contempt.

The contempt application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Ramesh Kumar Datta, J)

spal/-

U			
---	--	--	--