

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.32027 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -4 Year- 2015 Thana -C.B.I CASE District- PATNA

=====

Ramanand Lal Karn @ R.L. Karn Son of Late Triveni Lal Karn Resident of
Village- Pauri, P.S. Bahera, District Darbhanga.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The CBI Through The Additional Superintendent of Police, Patna

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satyavrat Verma

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bipin Kumar Sinha(Sc,Cbi)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 02-09-2016 Heard Sri Satyavrat Verma, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Sri Bipin Kumar Sinha, learned standing counsel

for the C.B.I.

The petitioner who was named as one of the accused in
R.C. Case No. 04 of 2015 registered for the offence under section
120 B read with section 420, 467, 468, 471 of the Indian Penal
Code and section 13 (2) read with section 13 (1)(d) of the
Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 has prayed for grant of bail in
the event of arrest .

It was submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that the petitioner has retired as Superintendent of Post from
Motihari. It has also been argued that in case of one of the co-
accused namely, Ram Naresh Thakur a bench of this court while

directing for summoning case diary has directed not to take any coercive action. On the aforesaid grounds a prayer has been made for extending the privilege of anticipatory bail. It was further submitted that the petitioner had issued three appointment letters in pursuance of verification made by the Inspector of Post and as such there was no question of commission of any offence by the petitioner.

However, at the very outset, Sri Bipin Kumar Sinha, learned Standing Counsel for the C.B.I. submitted that after thorough investigation by a detailed report C.B.I. has submitted charge sheet and thereafter learned Special Judge has already passed order of cognizance on 20th February 2016.

The court is of the opinion that in a case if after submission of charge sheet cognizance order is passed in normal course anticipatory bail petition may not be entertained.

The petition stands dismissed.

(Rakesh Kumar, J)

Praful/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--