

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9412 of 2016

=====

Amrita Kumari wife of Sri Umesh Kumar Singh, resident of village-kawai,
P.S.-Dawath, District-Rohtas

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Director, Panchayati Raj, Bihar, Patna
2. The Director, Panchayati Raj, Bihar, Patna
3. State Election Commission, Bihar, Patna through its Commissioner
4. The Commissioner, Bihar State Election Commission, Patna
5. District Magistrate cum District Returning Officer, Rohtas, Sasaram
6. Sub-Divisional Officer, Bikramganj Sub-Division, Rohtas
7. Block Development Officer, Dawath cum Returning Officer, Panchayat
Election, Dawath Block, District-Rohtas
8. Observer, Dawath Block, Panchayat Election.
9. Geeta Devi wife of Birendra Singh, resident of village & Post-Semari,
P.S. Dawath, District-Rohtas

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Subash Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. S.A. Alam, SC-3
Mr. Mahtab Alam, A.C. to SC-3
For the State E.C. : Mr. Amit Shrivastava, Advocate
Mr. Sanjeev Nikesh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 22-06-2016 Heard the parties.

The petitioner prays for a recount. The writ petition is misconceived since the election is already over. The remedy whatsoever is available to the aggrieved under the provisions of the Bihar Panchayat Raj Act, 2006 and the Bihar Panchayat Election Rules framed thereunder. The petitioner, if so advised, can take recourse to the remedy so available to him in law. No cause for indulgence is made out.

The writ petition is dismissed.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--