

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.21926 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -408 Year- 2015 Thana -DANAPUR District- PATNA

=====

1. Chandsi Rai, Son of Late Shiv Govind Rai, resident of Village- Bairiya,
Police Station Gopalpur (Sampat Chak). District - Patna.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arpana Kumari

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajit Kumar (App)

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN
SHARMA**
ORAL ORDER

3 15-07-2016

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. representing the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Danapur P.S. Case No. 408 of 2015 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 420, 465, 471, 417, 467, 468, 120(B)
and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

This case has been registered on the basis of
complaint petition wherein against the petitioner, it is alleged
that in place of Guddu Rai the photo of the petitioner was
pasted on the document and the petitioner executed forged
document impersonating as Guddu Rai and in that way, the
accused persons cheated Rs. 82,000/-.

Submission is of false implication and that the

petitioner has got no concern, he has unnecessarily been made accused in this case, the petitioner has not received any amount, the dispute appears purely of civil nature, the petitioner is in custody since 31.01.2016, charge-sheet has already been submitted and there is no chance of tampering with the prosecution evidence.

Learned A.P.P. fairly submits that the petitioner by remaining in custody now has been sufficiently penalized.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on bail on execution of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Danapur in connection with Danapur P.S. Case No. 408 of 2015, subject to the conditions that one of the bailors must be a near relative and another having sufficient immovable property within the territorial jurisdiction of the court concerned and the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and the default on two consecutive dates on his part without any reason shall disentitle the petitioner from privilege of bail.

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J)

ajaypd./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--