

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1135 of 2016

- =====
1. Shyam Bihari Pandey, son of Late Sheodhwaja Pandey
 2. Ram Awatar Singh, son of Late Jamir Singh
 3. Rang Nath Pandey, son of Ramdhwaja Pandey
 4. Govind Pandey @ Govind, son of Late Jagdish Pandey
- All are resident of Village- Dinya, P.O.- Ramgarh, P.S.- Mohaniya,
District- Kaimur (Bhabua), Bihar.

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Shri Rajeshwar Prasad Singh, I.A.S. the Collector-cum-District Magistrate, Kaimur, District- Kaimur (Bhabua).
3. Shri Ramashankar Singh, the District Development Commissioner, Kaimur, District- Kaimur (Bhabua).
4. Shri Avinash Kumar, the Deputy Collector Land Reforms Mohaniya, District- Kaimur (Bhabua).
5. Dr. Jitendra Gupta, the Sub-Divisional Officer, Mohaniya, District- Kaimur (Bhabua).
6. Shri Vijay Kumar Singh, the Anchal Adhikari, Mohaniya, District- Kaimur (Bhabua).
7. Shri Sanjay Kumar, the Programme Officer, Mohaniya, District- Kaimur (Bhabua).
8. Harprit Kaur, I.P.S. the Superintendent of Police, Kaimur, district- Kaimur (Bhabua).
9. Shri Shashi Bhushan Thakur, the Officer-in-charge, Mohaniya, District- Kaimur (Bhabua).
10. Praman Pasi, son of Late Rajeshwar Pasi, resident of village- Khurd Gaura, P.S.- Jamurana, P.S.- Mohaniya, District- Kaimur (Bhabua) Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj, Bhokhari, P.S.- Mohaniya, District- Kaimur (Bhabua).

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kamala Kant Tiwary, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
ORAL ORDER

8 03-08-2016

The road complained of by the petitioners in the writ petition which was required to be removed under the orders of the writ Court, not being removed led to this contempt petition. Several rounds of affidavits and show cause(s) have been filed by

the petitioner and by the State defending their respective stand. The supplementary show cause filed today enclosing a photograph of the area in question confirms that the road whatsoever constructed on the land of the petitioner has now been ploughed and the petitioners can well use their respective lands for agriculture purpose. The choice is with the petitioners to demarcate the boundary.

However, in view of the supplementary show cause filed by the State, I find no reason to continue with the contempt application considering status placed on record.

The contempt application is disposed of.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

N.H./-

U			
---	--	--	--