

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.14369 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -329 Year- 2012 Thana -MOTIHARI TOWN District-
EASTCHAMPARAN(MOTIHARI)

=====

Rajan Sahani, Son of Ram Raj Sahani Resident of Village- Raghunathpur,
P.S.- Turkaulia, District- East Champaran.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Ranjan

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. A.Dayal(App)

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN
SHARMA**
ORAL ORDER

3 13-05-2016

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P representing the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Motihari Town P.S. Case No. 329 of 2012 registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 387 and 120B/34 of the Indian
Penal Code.

Allegedly, one Bhola Ram stating himself as
shooter of the petitioner demanded ransom of Rs. 5 lakhs from
mobile number 8809890049 and on failure to do so, threatened to
kill him. During investigation, one Fayaz Alam was apprehended
and he confessing his guilt stated the name of the petitioner and
others.

Submission is of false implication and that Fayaz
Alam, Pappu Kumar, Harendra Manjhi and Chandan Ram have

already been allowed bail by different benches of this Court and the petitioner is suffering in custody since 24.01.2013. The petitioner has been made victim of police atrocity as he has been made accused in 13 cases and in all the cases, the petitioner is not named.

Learned APP submits that the petitioner has got criminal antecedent.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, considering that other four co-accused, named above, have already been allowed bail and, as such, the petitioner, above named, is also directed to be released on bail on execution of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st class, Sadar Motihari in connection with Sadar P.S. Case No. 329 of 2012, subject to the conditions that one of the bailors must be a near relative and another having sufficient immovable property within the territorial jurisdiction of the court concerned and the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and the default on two consecutive dates on his part without any reason shall disentitle the petitioner from privilege of bail.

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J.)

Mishra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--