

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.13883 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -23 Year- 2013 Thana -NAYAGAON District- BEGUSARAI

=====

Rajan Kumar Singh Son of Late Ladu Lal Singh, Resident of Village -
Bijulia, P.S. - Samho, District - Begusarai.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Binod Kumar No.3 (App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 30-03-2016

Heard counsel for the petitioner and counsel for the
State.

In this case, the petitioner is seeking bail in
connection with S.Tr.no.181/15 arising out of Nayagaon P.S.
Case No.23 of 2013 registered for the offences under Sections
147, 148, 149, 353, 332, 333 and 307 of the I.P.C. and Section
25(1-B)A, 26, 37 and 35 of the Arms Act.

The petitioner had moved this Court for bail in
Cr.Misc. No.43399/13. This Court had rejected his prayer for bail
vide order dated 19.3.2014 and thereafter the petitioner had again
moved this Court in Cr.Misc. no.48433/14 which was rejected on
4.2.2015.

From perusal of the impugned order it appears that
charges have been framed. The court below has taken all steps for
procurement of the attendance of the witnesses but none has

turned up.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that all the witnesses are official witness and the witnesses should be examined at earliest.

Under the aforesaid circumstance, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.

However, the trial court is directed to conclude the trial within a period of six months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order. The Senior S.P.,Begusarai, is directed to ensure the production of all the witnesses before the court below for their examination and it is also directed to the court below that if the witnesses present themselves they should be examined on the date of their appearance. The court below will not grant any adjournment to either of the parties.

If the trial court would not conclude the trial within the aforesaid period, the petitioner would be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

AnilKrSinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--