

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2483 of 2014

=====

Kumar Vikramendra Pratap Singh Son of Late Kumar Pratap Singh
Resident of Kumar Niwas, Post- Buniadganj, District- Gaya

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Secretary, Rural Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The Collector, Gaya
4. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Gaya
5. The Additional Collector, Gaya
6. The Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Sadar Gaya
7. The Sub Divisional Officer, Gaya
8. The Anchal Adhikari, Wazirganj Block, At and P.O. Wazirganj, District- Gaya
9. The Block Development Officer, Wazirganj Block, At And P.O. Wazirganj, District- Gaya
10. The Mukhiya, Kunar Paharpur Grampanchayat, At Village And Post- Kenar, District- Gaya
11. The Panchayat Secretary, Kenar Paharpur Grampanchayat, At Village And Post- Kenar, District- Gaya
12. Chamaru Pasi
13. Babu Lal Chaudhary
14. Krishna Chaudhary, all sons of late Kameshwar Pasi.
15. Pinky Devi wife of late Sanjay Chaudhary, all residents of village- Kenar, P.S. Wazirganj, District- Gaya.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kumar Dharendra Pratap Singh

For the Respondent No.1 to 9 : A.C. to G.P.-22

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA PRASAD VERMA
ORAL ORDER

6 29-04-2016

Heard the parties.

The grievance of the writ petitioner in the present case is that for redressal of his valid grievances, he approached the authorities concerned including the respondent District Collector, Gaya, but till date neither his valid grievances have been redressed nor his representation has been disposed of.

In view of the nature of grievances raised on behalf of the petitioner in the present writ petition, this Court is of the

opinion that the interest of justice shall be sub-served if the petitioner is granted liberty to file a comprehensive representation before the respondent District Collector, Gaya with all supporting documents in support of his claim over the lands in question, raising all the pleas which have been raised in the present writ petition. It is ordered accordingly.

If such a comprehensive representation is filed on behalf of the petitioner within a period of one month from today with a certified copy of the present order, then the respondent District Collector, Gaya either himself or any other competent authority of the respondent State shall be obliged to consider and decide the claims of the petitioner by a reasoned and speaking order, after giving an opportunity of hearing to all concerned including the petitioner and the private respondent no. 12 to 15, at an early date preferably within a period of three months from the date of filing of such representation in the manner indicated above.

If on consideration of the materials the competent authority comes to a conclusion that claims raised on behalf of the petitioner are admissible to him, then consequential order shall also be issued for grant of such admissible claims without any unnecessary further delay.

It is clarified that this Court has not gone into the merits of the claims raised on behalf of the petitioner in the present writ petition and it is left to be decided by the competent authority strictly in accordance with law.

The writ petition stands finally disposed of with the observations and directions made above.

BTiwary/-

U			
---	--	--	--

(Birendra Prasad Verma, J)